Impact on cancer

How IHI and IMI projects are tackling cancer



19 May 2025





Use the Q&A box

Ask questions and interact with the speakers (bottom of your screen)

EuropaB

The session is being **recorded.** The recording will be posted on IHI's website and Youtube channel.











Cancer Epidemiology

IHI Cancer Portfolio

Focus on Specific Projects: PIONEER OPTIMA GUIDE MRD IMAGIO





Cancer Epidemiology

IHI Cancer Portfolio

Focus on Specific Projects: PIONEER OPTIMA GUIDE MRD IMAGIO







! !





https://gco.iarc.fr/en

6

Cancer Tomorrow

2050 2022 **İİİİİİİİİİİİİ İİİİİİİİİİİİİ** İİİİİİİİİİİİ **İİİİİİİİİİİİİ , , , , , , , , , , , , ,** İİİİİ + 77% innovative 35,3 Million 20 Million health New cases in a year New cases in a year

2,7 Million new cases / year

7



2,7 Million new cases / year





2,7 Million new cases / year



⁹ to be diagnosed with cancer before 75



2,7 Million new cases / year



Share of EU population expected to be diagnosed with cancer before 75

1,3 Million death / year



Cancer in the European Union 2,7 Million new cases / year **1,3 Million death / year 2022 MOST COMMON** 2022 MOST DIAGNOSED CANCER CAUSES OF DEATH IN THE EU CANCERS IN THE EU 12.3% 13.8%* 19.5% 13% Colorectal Lung Colorectal Breast cancer cancer cancer cancer 7.5%* 7.4% 12.1%** 11.6% Breast Pancreatic Prostate Lung cancer cancer cancer cancer



Share of EU population expected to be diagnosed with cancer before 75



Cancer in the European Union 1,3 Million death / year 2,7 Million new cases / year **2022 MOST COMMON** 2022 MOST DIAGNOSED CANCER CAUSES OF DEATH CANCERS IN THE EU IN THE EU 12.3% 19.5% 13.8%* 13% Lung Colorectal Colorectal Breast cancer cancer cancer cancer 7.4% 7.5%* 12.1%** 11.6% Pancreatic Breast Prostate Lung cancer cancer cancer cancer 9 14 % 25 % 31 % innovative Share of EU population expected Share of EU population expected health to die from cancer before 75 initiative ¹² to be diagnosed with cancer before 75

https://ecis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/



Cancer Epidemiology

IHI Cancer Portfolio

Focus on Specific Projects: PIONEER OPTIMA GUIDE MRD IMAGIO



Lung

- PERSIST SEQ
- OPTIMA
- IMAGIO
- IDHERA
- GUIDE MRD

Cancer Incidence (World)



Lung















IHI Cancer portfolio per Indication

14 Cancer Indications



Blood - HARMONY - HARMONY PLUS - T2EVOLVE - ITCCP4

Sarcoma

- THERA4CARE
- ITCCP4
- Brain
- ACCELERATE EU
- ITCCP4

Ovarian



- IMMUCAN **Bladder** - BRECISE



Focus of Today's Webinar





Cancer Epidemiology

IHI Cancer Portfolio

Focus on Specific Projects: PIONEER OPTIMA GUIDE MRD IMAGIO







The European Network of Excellence for Big Data in Prostate Cancer

PIONEER – Our Consortium Journey

Susan Evans Axelsson, PhD

General Manager EAU UroEvidenceHub *PIONEER Project Industry Lead, Bayer AG

www.prostate-pioneer.eu

- 🍠 @ProstatePioneer
- n @Pioneer-big-data-in-prostate-cancer





- PIONEER is an IMI2 funded pan-European public private partnership consisting of 36 stakeholders from across 9 countries
- Launched in May 2018 PIONEER brings together all relevant stakeholders in the field of prostate cancer research as well as clinical and social care
- In Five year (2018-2023) 12 Million € program
- PIONEER aims to transform the field of prostate cancer care with particular focus on:
 - improving prostate-cancer related outcomes
 - health system efficiency
 - the quality of health and social care across Europe





2021: the European Commission set overall

economic impact of cancer to exceed $\texttt{\in}100$

billion annually³



- 2021: the European Commission set overall economic impact of cancer to exceed €100 billion annually³
- Worldwide: second most commonly diagnosed cancer and the fifth leading cause of cancer death²

www.prostate-pioneer.eu





- 2021: the European Commission set overall economic impact of cancer to exceed €100 billion annually³
- Worldwide: second most commonly diagnosed cancer and the fifth leading cause of cancer death²
- EU: most common cancers in men and the third leading cause of death in men¹



Age standardised rate (European standard population) per 100.000



- Insufficient knowledge on risk factors and patient characteristics
- Need for integration of real-world clinical data into disease classification and care pathways
- Lack of standardisation of definitions and Prostate Cancer-related outcomes
 - Lack of appropriate **patient stratification**
 - separating patients based on established criteria e.g. gender, ethnicity, risk, disease state, socio-economic condition, etc
 - Insufficient meaningful **engagement of stakeholders**, including patients
 - **Suboptimal care** for prostate cancer patients



Prostate Cancer Treatment: Goals

- Long-term oncologic control (improve survival!)
- Minimize treatment-related side effects
- Maintain Quality of Life
- Identify patients who should receive treatment





IMI project in Prostate Cancer: **PIONEER**

Data Sources:

3,5 millions prostate cancer patients





different sources and **not**

collected within a controlled

clinical trial.

Data Sources:

3,5 millions prostate cancer patients

@ProstatePioneer

www.prostate-pioneer.eu







IMI project in Prostate Cancer: PIONEER achievements

- 56 research questions identified
- 14 active research question teams
- 3 International large-scale Studyathons





A **study-a-thon** is a focused multi-day meeting to generate medical evidence on a specific topic across different countries and health care systems achieved through the joint work of a multidisciplinary team, including **clinicians, researcher, data scientists, patients, etc.**



5 days virtual 245 participants 20 countries *published European Urology



5 days hybrid 35 participants 10 countries



5 days hybrid53 participants9 countries

www.prostate-pioneer.eu

@ProstatePioneer



IMI project in Prostate Cancer: PIONEER achievements

Research Questions Results: Study-a-thon 1

Publication On conservative management: delaying or even avoiding curative therapy of prostate cancer patients

Prostate Cancer: When to recommend 'Conservative Management'

- While Prostate Cancer is the second leading cause of male cancer deaths, for many patients, curative-intentioned treatment is **not always the best option**.
- Up to now, **it is not clear** when to recommend a 'conservative management' approach and when to recommend treatment.
- PIONEER analysed over 500,000 prostate cancer patients to identify the most important factors for choosing between these two treatment options: Age, hypertension, obesity and type 2 diabetes.



Research Questions Results Study-a-thon 2

 Which patient will benefit the most according to the different treatment schemes in metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer (<u>Protocol publication</u>)

Paper 1: Baseline characteristics of metastatic Prostate Cancer patients

• Describe **demographics and clinical characteristics** of patients with Metastatic Prostate Cancer across a distributed network of observational databases.

Paper 2: Treatment patterns in metastatic Prostate Cancer patients

- **Describe** what **treatments** patients with Metastatic Prostate Cancer were exposed to and in which **sequence** across a distributed network of observational databases.
- Characterize the clinical outcomes of patients with Metastatic Prostate Cancer at 1,3 and 5 years: overall survival, cancer-specific survival, time to symptomatic progression, time to develop resistance, time to the next treatment (treatment switch)



Baseline characteristics of metastatic Prostate Cancer patients

Result :



Evidence from real-world data indicates that men diagnosed with metastatic prostate cancer tend to be **older** and have **more comorbidities** compared to those observed in Randomized Controlled Trials.



Liu A.Q., <u>Nicoletti R.</u>, Abbott T., Feng Q., Hijazy A., Steinbeisser C., De Meulder B., Golozar A., Evans-Axelsson S., Snijder R., Bjartell A., Cornford P., Beyer K., Willemse P-P., Murtola T., Roobol M.J., Moreno Sierra J.S., Chiu P.K-F., Ng C-F., Gacci M., Merseburger A., N'Dow J., Rajwa P., Gómez Rivas J.

University of Florence

www.prostate-pioneer.eu

@ProstatePioneer



IMI project in Prostate Cancer: PIONEER achievements

Research Questions Results Study-a-thon 3

- Observational Health Data Analysis on the Cardiovascular Adverse Events of Systemic Treatment in Patients with Metastatic Hormone-Sensitive Prostate Cancer: Big Data Analytics (Protocol publication)
 Results:
- This is the **first** large-scale Big Data observational study analyzing cardiovascular toxicities of systemic therapies in metastatic Prostate Cancer, with data from over 100,000 treated patients.
- Real-world patients have a higher comorbidity burden at treatment initiation compared to those in clinical trials.
- Cardiovascular Adverse Events are common in real-world settings and should not be overlooked
- **Substantial heterogeneity** exists across databases, emphasizing the need to consider data sources when interpreting findings



Research Questions Results Randomized Clinical Trials vs Real World Evidence

 The generalizability of randomized controlled trials focusing on newly diagnosed metastatic prostate cancer using real-world data (<u>Protocol publication</u>)

Conclusion: Randomized Clinical Trials generalizability is limited by their strict exclusion criteria, resulting in findings based on a limited and highly selected cohort of patients with newly diagnosed metastatic Prostate Cancer

- This **limitation should be considered when prescribing treatments** recommended based on Randomized Clinical Trial findings
- Future Randomized Clinical Trials should **adopt less restrictive criteria** and enroll a more heterogeneous and representative cohort of patients


Core Outcomes Set: Patient **outcomes** after treatment for prostate cancer are difficult to **compare** because of

variability. PIONEER has standardized and recommended outcomes (and their definitions) that should be collected as a minimum in all future studies.

Core Outcome Sets: What they are and why they are important

Why are they important?

- When 2 studies are finished, we cannot compare or combine their results if they have used different outcomes.
- Like comparing apples to oranges:
 - it makes it nearly impossible to give a conclusive recommendation about the effectiveness of the intervention or treatment, since the evidence can't be properly combined.





PIONEER Core Outcome Sets

Play a vital role in clinical research and patient care:

- They ensure we're comparing apples to apples in research.
- They guide healthcare professionals to adopt the most effective, patient-relevant treatments quickly.
- They help safeguard patients against bias in reporting outcomes.

Localised prostate cancer

Clinician-reported outcomes Overall survival Prostate cancer specific survival Biochemical recurrence Local disease recurrence Distant disease recurrence/metastases Need for curative R Treatment failure in ablative procedures Positive surgical margins Major surgical complications Radiation toxicity/Major radiation complication

Patient-reported outcomes Bowel dysfunction/incontinence Urinary dysfunction/incontinence Sexual dysfunction Side effects of hormone therapy Overall Quality of Life



Metastatic prostate cancer

Clinician-reported outcomes Overall survival Prostate cancer specific survival Development of castration-resistant disease in HSPC Biochemical progression-free survival (bPFS) Radiographic progression-free survival (rPFS) Clinician-reported PSA response Procedures needed for local progression Symptomatic skeletal event

Patient-reported outcomes Bowel dysfunction Urinary dysfunction Sexual dysfunction Side effects of systemic therapy Emotional dysfunction Physical dysfunction Pain Fatigue Performance status



<u>Diagnostic and prognostic search tool</u>: Tool that helps determine which diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers can be used to select patients for a specific treatment The online search tool

Why is this important?

- Multiple biomarkers are available to improve prostate cancer diagnosis and prognosis.
- However, knowledge on which diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers can be used to select patients for a specific treatment remains unclear.
 - The PIONEER online search tool for prostate cancer diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers aims to bridge this knowledge gap.



PIONEER Diagnostic & prognostic factors search tool

2014-02-13

					< >	joneer.elixir-luxembourg.org	5	ŵ +
••• D • < >	🚊 pioneer.elixir-lu	eembourg.org Č	Ó	1 + 10 (8 PIONEER	Q Prostate Cancer Antigen 3 (PCA3) × 1	Prostate Cancer Antigen 3 (PCA3) - PIONEER Searce Type a diagnostic factor, prognostic factor	h	
8 PIONEER	(g) Pion	ela.	Our Process About PIONE	Timing	51 results	our data) (/IIADAS2.Apalicability (blick concorre))	Best match	~
	Explore (PS Publica	Curated A ations		Post treatmer During treatme Anytime (1) Unclear (1) N/a (5) Risk of bias asset	r(10) nt (27) nent (1) Prospective mull 2012-12-04 Background Prostate ERG) gene fusions ar evaluate the diagnost	Iticentre evaluation of PCA3 and TM cancer antigen 3 (PCA3) and v-ets erythroblastr re promising prostate cancer (PCa) specific bion tic a	PRSS2-ERG gene fusions as diagnosis virus E26 oncogene homolog (TMPRSS2- narkers that can be measured in urine. Objectiv	о ие То
	PCA Prognostic Factor Prostate Cancer Antigen 3 (PCA3) Outcome Prostate cancer specific survival			For prognostic f PROBAST ① High risk (1 OUIPS ① High risk (2 Medium ris Low risk (2	Prospective mul (20) PS Score (High risk) 1) Prospective mul (23) 2012-12-04 sk (3) Background Prostate (2) ERG) gene fusions ai factors: evaluate the diagnost	Iticentre evaluation of PCA3 and TM cancer antigen 3 (PCA3) and v-ets erythroblaster re promising prostate cancer (PCa) specific bion tic a	PRSS2-ERG gene fusions as diagn osis virus E26 oncogene homolog (TMPRSS2- narkers that can be measured in urine. Objectiv	о re To
PIONEER Diagnostic and prognosti	Diagnostic Factor BPH patients PCa significance Disease Non-metastatic prostate cancer	Identification of prostate cancer diagnostic	CH TOOL	PROBAST ① High risk (6 Low risk (1) Unclear ris OUADAS2 ① High risk (6 Low risk (5 Low risk (5 Unclear ris	Diagnostic and point 000000000000000000000000000000000000	prognostic scoring system for prost solely on serum prostate-specific antigen (sPS/ system for detecting PCa and the prediction of a patie	tate cancer using urine and plasma A) in screening for prostate cancer (PCa), we ggressiveness. We analyzed urine and plasma	•••• 1
				Applicability As: For prognostic f PROBAST ①	sessments ① factors: PROBAST Diagnostic Risk Identification of em (1)	of Bias (High risk) [PROBAST Diagnostic Applicability (High of miR-187 and miR-182 as biomarker:	s of early diagnosis and prognosis	i

PIONEER



IMI project in Prostate Cancer: PIONEER achievements

- <u>Research Questions Results:</u>
 <u>Under analysis</u>
- <u>Core Outcomes Set</u>: Patient outcomes after treatment for prostate cancer are difficult to compare because of variability. PIONEER has standardized and recommended outcomes (and their definitions) that should be collected as a minimum in all future studies.
 <u>Core Outcome Sets: What they are and why they are important</u>
- Diagnostic and prognostic search tool: Multiple biomarkers are available to improve prostate cancer diagnosis and prognosis. However, knowledge on which diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers can be used to select patients for a specific treatment remains unclear. The PIONEER online search tool for prostate cancer diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers aims to bridge this knowledge gap.
 <u>The guide to use the online tool</u>
- <u>Clinical decision support tool pilot</u>: Conceptual development of a tool with the aim at serving as a clinician decision support tool. Extracting optimal treatment pathways from collective data to provide clinicians with an overview of treatment and outcomes of similar patients. Continued development in UroEvidenceHub



- Under development to enhance decision making (development continued in EAU UroEvidenceHub)
- Utilizing key patient features, comparing to comprehensive database to find similar patients
- Extracting **optimal treatment** pathways from collective data
- Will provide clinicians with an overview of treatment and outcomes of similar patients
- Aim at serving as a clinician decision support tool





EAU UroEvidenceHub





- Identify critical evidence gaps
- Clinical, OMICS, study design, and demographics data
- Data sources
- Structured data
- Single data platform
- Identify, broaden, and standardize PCa-related diagnosis and treatment



PIONEER-Plus

- **MRI imaging/EHR**
- Unstructured (semi-)live EHR and MRI data
- AI-based risk classification module
- Specialised computation for AI and analytics, storage for medical images
- Federated learning approach
- Data anonymization

Clinical needs definition, Data collection, standardization, harmonization of PCa patients Decision support in diagnosis and stratification of prostate cancer patients in clinical practice

www.prostate-pioneer.eu

eau uro evidence hub 🕸



Open for experts in urology, RWE generation, artificial intelligence in healthcare, epidemiology, data science & statistics, patient engagement and guideline development

Let's build an ecosystem of excellence together!

Building on the sound foundation and experience of:









Prostate cancer diagnosis and treatment enhancement through the power of big data in Europe

Research-based platform built to use RWD to answer evidence gaps identified as most importatant by clinicians and patients. Optimal treatment for patients with solid tumours in Europe through artificial intelligence

Further development the work from **PIONEER**, with the specific goal of enabling the use of artificial intelligence (AI) to improve care for patients with **prostate**, **breast and lung cancer**.





Cancer Epidemiology

IHI Cancer Portfolio

Focus on Specific Projects: PIONEER OPTIMA GUIDE MRD IMAGIO











Prof. Dr. James N'Dow, Urological Surgeon EAU Adjunct Secretary General, University of Aberdeen OPTIMA Academic Coordinator

Funded by





No financial conflicts of interest to disclose





Challenges of cancer patient care needing global solutions

- A staggering 90% of the content found in scientific publications is deemed unreliable and inappropriate for instigating alterations in Clinical Practice Guideline Recommendations.
- In several EU member countries, approximately 4 out of 5 patients DO NOT GET access to evidence-based treatment for specific urological cancers.
 - This situation increases the likelihood of adverse outcomes.
 - It leads to unnecessary complications.
 - It incurs additional costs.
 - There is a risk of providing potentially harmful care (25%).

OPTIMA EXPANDING Real-World Evidence has high potential to boost urology research and address current cancer treatment challenges Globally



Data and real-world evidence are the GAME CHANGERS!

Living Guidelines incorporating Real World Evidence

Next generation "living" guidelines and a new way of interaction between **clinicians**, **patients** and **care-givers**.

- improved quality of care
- strengthening the role of shared treatment decisions
- empower individualised treatment recommendations and care plans that consider physical, emotional and psychological patient needs preferences.
- Context-sensitive (eg. high-income versus low-income countries)

©PTIMA €21.3M EU IMI funding launched in October 2021

Three Forms of Cancer: Prostate Cancer, Breast Cancer, Lung Cancer



That every patient should have access to the most up-to-date individualised treatments and to innovative therapies.

By strengthening shared decision-making based on dynamic computerinterpretable guidelines, innovative broad data access and Al-driven technology and tools, we envision revolutionizing oncology care in Europe.

OUR MISSION

To design, develop and deliver an interoperable and GDPR-compliant European real-world oncology data and evidence generation platform from the onset <u>based on the needs of the clinicians and patients</u>, in an inclusive and sustainable way





ΟΡΤΙΜΛ

What can patients expect from OPTIMA

- 1. Improved treatment decisions: AI-driven decisionsupport tools will help clinicians and patients make more informed choices about prostate, breast, and lung cancer treatments.
- 2. Access to Cutting-Edge Research: Patients will benefit from new knowledge generated through advanced analytics and AI models, leading to better treatment recommendations.
- 3. Personalized Care: The platform will integrate realworld data from electronic health records (EHRs) and other sources, allowing for more individualized treatment plans.



ΟΡΤΙΜΛ

Access to the right data

OPTIMA has secured access to data covering >200 million people

- Electronic Health Record data of >138 million people in Europe and >56 million people in the US
- Biobanks, cancer registries and cohort data of >7 million patients in Europe
- Trial or prospective cohort data of >6,000 participants



From generic guideline recommendations to truly individualising patient care driven by data



- Prioritisation of Research Questions (Knowledge gaps) with all stakeholders.
- Assessments of the quality of existing Prostate Cancer, Breast Cancer and Lung Cancer Clinical Practice Guidelines.

Care pathways most commonly used by clinicians.

Objective: Answering relevant research questions for **OPTIMA**

Examples topics of research questions

Prostate cancer

- → Questions like "What is the pathogenesis in patients undergoing active surveillance, and what are the effects of comorbidities and life expectancy?"
- → or questions such as "What are the molecular mechanisms behind resistance and reasons for treatment failure?"

Breast cancer

- → Questions like "What is the best clinical outcome for each treatment sequence for metastatic breast cancer? Which therapy is most effective after CDK4/6i endocrine combination therapy"
- → or questions such as "What is the BRCA mutation rate in metastatic breast cancer patients in real life? And what is the best course of treatment for these patients?"

Lung cancer

- → Questions like "What is the optimal treatment for patients who have a disease progression during or after cellular immunotherapy?"
- → or questions such as "Can AI help to detect treatment-related adverse events at an early stage and thus support therapy management?"

ΟΡΤΙΜΛ

Map for diagnosis and staging

- From diagnosing cancers to deciding the right treatment is very complex.
- There are many details to track, including imaging results, lab tests, and patient factors.
- In OPTIMA, we create detailed treatment pathways to support clinical decisions.

Our clinical decision support tools will help simplify this complexity so that healthcare providers can choose the best treatment options with confidence



1. User enters clinical information

CLINICAL INFORMATION		Overwrite all questions with	
Manual input		. Standard Report	
Input for automatic impression			
Life expectancy *		Clinical information	
Current PSA value		Life expectancy: years.	
Date		Current PSA value 7 ng/mL, measured on . PSA-DT: months.	
PSA-DT		Tansperineal biopsy positive	
Transperineal biopsy	Positive •	Itile score: 2	
ISUP score		Clessen-Score)	
Gleason-Score * 🔕			
NCCN		Nocini, restasterane, rig/at	
Testosterone		Hogression.	
Progression			
FINDINGS			
IMPRESSION			
FOLLOW-UP			
		Prostate cancer. Staging: Intermediate risk.	
		Treatment recommendation: Surveillance: Active surveillance.	2. Treatmer
		Follow-up:	rocommonda
			i econinenua
			is calculate
			automatical

ion



ΟΡΤΙΜΛ

Impact of AI in cancer Current Applications in Prostate cancer

• **Detecting Cancerous Growths:**

Al systems can accurately tell the difference between harmless lumps and those that might be cancerous.

• Better Than the Human Eye:

Advanced computer programs that analyze medical images (like X-rays or MRIs) can sometimes catch early signs of cancer more reliably than radiologists because they are finetuned to pick up subtle details.

• Smarter Slide Analysis:

When doctors look at tissue samples under a microscope, AI tools help interpret these slides more accurately. This means relying less on extra, complex lab tests and still getting a clear diagnosis.

Set IMA Future Directions and Potential



Personalised Oncology: Al will enable multiomic integration (genomics, imaging, pathology) to tailor treatment pathways with increased precision.

Ensures you receive the right treatment at the right time



Predictive Modelling: Real-time analytics may inform prognosis and therapeutic response, guiding dynamic adjustments in treatment plans.

Empowers healthcare providers to quickly fine-tune treatments as patient conditions change



Workflow Automation: Al will streamline clinical workflows by automating image segmentation, report generation, and prioritisation of high-risk cases.

Frees up healthcare professionals to focus on patient care by reducing repetitive tasks



Decentralised Diagnostics: Al-driven mobile and telehealth platforms could improve early cancer detection in low-resource settings or underserved populations.

Makes cutting-edge diagnostic services accessible to communities where traditional healthcare facilities are limited.



OPTIMA will revolutionize oncology in Europe:

• Ensure every cancer patient accesses the latest individualized treatments through shared decision-making powered by dynamic, computer-interpretable guidelines, diverse real-world data, and AI tools.

OPTIMA will personalize treatment via Clinical Decision Support:

• Leverage the synergy of real-world evidence and traditional clinical trials to deliver personalized care, with special focus on underserved populations.

Transformative Insights with Explainable AI:

 Harness explainable AI to uncover novel insights for the early diagnosis and treatment of prostate, breast, and lung cancers.



Thank you for your attention!

www.optima-oncology.eu Twitter: @OPTIMA_oncology Email: communication@optima-oncology.eu



OPTIMA is funded through the IMI2 Joint Undertaking and is listed under grant agreement No. 101034347. IMI2 receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations (EFPIA). IMI supports collaborative research projects and builds networks of industrial and academic experts in order to boost pharmaceutical innovation in Europe.



Cancer Epidemiology

IHI Cancer Portfolio

Focus on Specific Projects: PIONEER OPTIMA GUIDE MRD IMAGIO





Liquid biopsy: From discovery to clinical implementation Klaus Pantel

Director, Institute for Tumor Biology, UKE, University Cancer Center Hamburg (UCCH)

Founder & President of the European Liquid Biopsy Society (ELBS)

Academic coordinator of the GUIDE MRD project







This project is supported by the Innovative Health Initiative Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101112066. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme and EFPIA (including Vaccines Europe), MedTech Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics
 INC. Funded by the European Union, the private members, and those contributing partners of the IHI JU. Yews and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the aforementioned parties can be held responsible for them.



efpia Cocire Ve Vaccines Europe

Liquid Biopsy: The Concept

Definition:Liquid Biopsy* - Analysis of tumor cells (CTCs) or their products (e.g., DNA, miRNA, extracellular
vesicles) and host cells (e.g., immune cells, endothelial cells) in blood (or other body fluids)

<u>Rationale</u>: Tissue biopsies are invasive and some locations are difficult to access (e.g., lung or brain)

In contrast, drawing blood from a peripheral vein is only minimally invasive

Moreover, blood is a pool of tumor cells (and their products) released from primary and metastatic lesions including all locations in a cancer patients

Sequential blood analyses in individual patients allow real-time monitoring of tumor evolution & therapy response

Vision:

Comprehensive and real-time tumor information by the analyses of blood (or other body fluids)







This project is supported by the Innovative Health Initiative Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101112066. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics (including Vaccines Europe). MedTech Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics (Including Vaccines Europe). MedTech Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics (Including Vaccines Europe) and opinions expressed are however those or the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the afforementioned parties. Neither of the advortement of heid responsible for them.



CANCER-ID: the Origin of ELBS

Evaluation of technologies for tumor liquid biopsy in Lung Cancer and metastatic Breast Cancer. (e.g. CTCs, ctDNA and cfmiRNAs)

- 40 partners from academia and industry
- 5-year project (2015-2019)
- Combined budget of 18 Million €
- ✓ > 50 scientific publications, more added each year







Consortium of 93 Institutions from academia and industry: Clinical implementation of Liquid biopsy (ELBS – "Legacy" (EU/IMI Factsheet 05/2022)

EUROPEAN LIQUID BIOPSY SOCIETY

CURRENT MEMBERS







ORGANIZATION TEAM







CHAIRMAN | ELBS Prof. Dr. med. Klaus Pantel Director of the institute

Center for Experimental Medicine Institute of Tumor Biology pantel@uke.de +49 (0) 40 7410 – 53503

PROJECT MANAGER | ELBS Dr. Svenja Schneegans-Murano

Center for Experimental Medicine Institute of Tumor Biology s.schneegans-murano@uke.de +49 (0) 152 22844068 www.elbs.eu | Linkedin

JUNIOR PROJECT MANAGER | ELBS Alina Brüns

Center for Experimental Medicine Institute of Tumor Biology a.bruens@uke.de +49 (0) 40 7410 - 53503 www.elbs.eu | Linked in

WORKING GROUPS





ELBS Outputs and achievements of CANCER-ID/ELBS CANCER

Scientific Publications	"ELBS-approved" technologies and assays	Data for ISO certification of labs
White Papers	Best Practices (SOPs)	Research Collaborations & Projects
Clinical Study Guidelines	Research Grants	Healthcare Coverage and Reimbursement





GUIding multi-moDal thErapies against MRD by liquid biopsies

GUIDE.MRD Objectives

Create reference standards & benchmark liquid biopsy assays (ctDNA)

Robust clinical validation of Liquid biopsy in colorectal, pancreatic and lung cancer

Integration of Minimal Residual Disease as a decision tool to guide treatment selection Project duration: 5 years 2023-2028

<u>Total cost</u>: 35 Million € (EU contribution: 17,6 Million €)





Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or Innovative Health Initiative (IHI). Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

Funded by the European Union

Early Detection of Minimal Residual Disease (MRD)

Minimal Residual Disease: the small number of cancer cells in the body after cancer treatment





This project is supported by the Innovative Health Initiative Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101112066. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and Innovation programme and EFPIA (including Vaccines Europe), MedTech Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics INC. Funded by the European Union, the private members, and those contributing partners of the IHI JU. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the aforementioned parties can be held responsible for them.


Early Detection of Minimal Residual Disease (MRD)

Minimal Residual Disease: the small number of cancer cells in the body after cancer treatment

GUZDEM



Pantel and Alix-Panabières, Nature Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2019



This project is supported by the Innovative Health Initiative Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101112066. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme and EFPIA (including Vaccines Europe), MedTech Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics INC. Funded by the European Union, the private members, and those contributing partners of the IHI JU. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the aforementioned parties can be held responsible for them.



Circulating cell-free DNA in the blood

ctDNA tests:

<u>1st method</u> : To use tumor tissue - Sequencing -> Patient-specific probes for liquid biopsy with Ultra high sensitivity

<u>2nd method</u>: No tumor tissue required but lower sensitivity

ctDNA challenges:

• FALSE POSITIVE: Normal cells such as breast or bladder epithelium

- FALSE NEGATIVE: Tumor lesions that are non-shedders
 - LOW concentrations in early-stage disease/MRD





This project is supported by the Innovative Health Initiative Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101112068. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme and EFPIA (including Vaccines Europe), MedTech Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics INC. Funded by the European Union, the private members, and those contributing partners of the IHI JU. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the aforementioned parties can be hid responsible for them.



GUIDE.MRD: Overall aims and Objectives

Objective 1

To benchmark available circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) assays for sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value in the adjuvant setting, where minimal residual disease (MRD) is indicative of disease progression

Objective 2

To clinically validate the top ranking ctDNA assays prospectively in patients diagnosed with Lung, colorectal and pancreatic cancer and produce a patient-centric roadmap for the clinical implementation of ctDNA diagnostics

Objective 3

To determine the **utility of ctDNA assays as prospective decision tools** of clinical response and **choice of multi-modal therapy** including novel combination therapies

Objective 4

To reach consensus with the key stakeholders - health authorities, payers and patient groups - towards implementation of ctDNA into clinical practice

GUZDEMRD initiative



This project is supported by the Innovative Health Initiative Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 10112066. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme and EFPIA (including Vaccines Europe), MedTech Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics INC. Funded by the European Union, the private members, and those contributing partners of the IHI JU. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the aforementioned parties can be held responsible for them.



Clinical Studies in GUIDE.MRD

GUIDE.MRD-01-Colorectal Cancer (prospective observational clinical trial)

GUIDE.MRD-02-Pancreatic Cancer

(prospective observational clinical trial)

GUIDE.MRD-03-Lung cancer

(prospective observational clinical trial)

GUIDE.MRD-04-COMPARATIVE

(retrospective clinical trial)

Non-inferiority of the top-performing ctDNA diagnostic (existing trials + GUIDE.MRD results)

GUIDE.MRD-05-RANDOM

(prospective randomized interventional clinical trial)

Post adjuvant therapy in ctDNA+ patients with recurrence from GUIDE.MRD trials (n = 56)



Co-funded by the European Union the autor(s) (

This project is supported by the Innovative Health Initiative Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 10112066. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme and EFPIA (including Vaccines Europe), MedTech Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics INC. Funded by the European Union, the private members, and those contributing partners of the IHI JU. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the aforementioned parties can be held responsible for them.



GUIDE.MRD through 3 observational clinical studies

Total: 960 patients





Co-funded by the European Union's Horizon European and innovation programme and EFPIA (including Vaccines Europe), MedTech European LGC Clinical Diagnostics INC. Funded by the European Union, the private members, and those contributing partners of the IHJ JU. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the aforementioned parties can be held responsible for them.



Strong collaboration within the project

- Strong connections between each of the work packages to achieve the objectives of GUIDE.MRD
- World leading academics & industry partners working together in the GUIDE.MRD project
- Academia lead and industry **co-leads** ensure an efficient environment bringing knowledge together
- Inclusion of two major patient organizations (DICE and LuCE) as well as planned inclusion of patient advisors
- Strong **multi-stakeholder engagement** (The Synergist)

innovative
 health



Co-funded by the European Union's Horizon European dia do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the aforementioned parties can be held responsible for them.



Summary

Early detection of Minimal Residual Disease /relapse requires ultrasensitive assays

Tumor burden and molecular characterization of ctDNA are relevant for therapy decisions

Molecular tumor composition can shift during surveillance period – discordance between primary and (micro)metastatic lesions/Minimal Residual Disease

Assays need to be validated and harmonized – ELBS

Interventional studies are important to demonstrate that early Minimal Residual Disease detection leads to improved clinical outcome







This project is supported by the Innovative Health Initiative Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101112066. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics. Union INC. Funded by the European Union, the private members, and these contributing partners of the IHI JU. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the aforementioned parties can be held responsible for them.



Clinical Utility of Liquid Biopsy

Cancer screening, detection, and early diagnosis

Pre- or postoperative risk assessment and prognosis

Minimal Residual Disease detection and prediction of recurrence

Treatment selection Identification of therapeutic targets & resistance mechanisms Treatment response assessment

Alix-Panabieres & Pantel, Cancer Discovery, 2021; Hofbauer, Pantel et al., Nature Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2022; Lawrence, Pantel et al, Nature Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2023; Pantel & Alix-Panabieres, Nature Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2025





This project is supported by the Innovative Health Initiative Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101112066. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme and EFPIA (including Vaccines Europe). MedTech Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics INC. Funded by the European Union, the private members, and those contributing partners of the IHI JU. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the aforementioned parties can be held responsible for them.



Thank you for your attention







This project is supported by the Innovative Health Initiative Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101112066. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme and EFPIA (including Vaccines Europe), MedTech Europe and LGC Clinical Diagnostics INC. Funded by the European Union, the private members, and those contributing partners of the IHI JU. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the aforementioned parties can be held responsible for them.





Cancer Epidemiology

IHI Cancer Portfolio

Focus on Specific Projects: PIONEER OPTIMA GUIDE MRD IMAGIO





Robert Hofsink (Philips Image Guided Therapy)



Coosje Verhagen (Leiden University Medical Center)





A new pillar in cancer treatment





What is Interventional Oncology?

- Minimally invasive
- Image guided
- Treatment through the skin or the blood vessels
- Tumor destroying techniques:
 - 1. Local application of chemotherapy
 - 2. Radioactive particles
 - 3. Heating or freezing



Benefits of Interventional Oncology



Smaller incision: fewer complications & less pain



Alternative to open surgery: when this is not possible or when patients are too weak



Can be used in combination with other techniques \rightarrow increase treatment efficacy



Faster recovery \rightarrow Shorter hospitalization \rightarrow lower healthcare costs



Overall project Objectives

IMAGIO will leverage **Interventional Oncology** in the clinical setting to improve the cancer survival outcomes, through minimally invasive, efficient, and affordable care pathways for three disease states:

Liver cancer

Lung cancer

Sarcoma

In IMAGIO, **top innovators** in MedTech and Pharma and expert academic clinical centers will mature the **next-generation Interventional Oncology**



Overall project Objectives

<u>Objective 1</u>



Objective 3



Multimodal imaging for radioembolization therapy for liver cancer



Multimodal ablation therapy for liver cancer

Multimodal diagnosis and therapy for lung cancer Objective 4



Multimodal MR-HIFUenabled therapy for sarcoma

Clinical validation studies

Image-based guidance during treatment

AI-based image processing for diagnosis and treatment planning

Advanced multi-modal imaging technologies



What is radioembolization in liver cancer?

- Administration of radio-active beads through the blood vessels
- Accumulation in, and in proximity to, the tumor
- The beads deliver high doses of radiation to the tumor, killing it over time







[1] Drescher R. et al.. (2023) doi:10.3390/biomedicines11071831



Multimodal imaging for fast radioembolization therapy of liver cancer

Integration of combined imaging techniques to get the procedure shorter and more precise for patients \rightarrow Single session for radioembolization





SPECT for liver and tumor dose





What is thermal ablation?





- A thin needle is inserted through the skin, guided by imaging to reach the liver tumor.
- Heat is applied through the needle to destroy the tumor



Multimodal thermal ablation therapy for liver cancer

- Artificial Intelligence-based segmentation algorithms for the liver, tumor and ablation zone integrated in deLIVERed software, providing real time feedback on treatment efficacy.
- Develop Artificial Intelligence tools to predict post-treatment recurrence and guide personalized follow-up strategies





Multimodal diagnosis and therapy for early-stage lung cancer

- Imaging and patient data collected for Artificial Intelligence-supported decision model to predict treatment outcome and cost effectiveness
- Novel microscope prototype has been completed. Benefit: much faster workflow, time for pathology biopsy sample analysis shortened from days to minutes





What is MR-HIFU: Magnetic Resonance-guided High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound?







Multimodal MR-HIFU-enabled therapy for sarcoma

- Integration of High Intensity Focused Ultrasound (HIFU) and MRI guidance
- Artificial Intelligence-based algorithms for the automation of personalized treatment planning, leads to easier workflow for physicians and better treatment reproducibility.





Spotlight on Liver Ablation

Multimodal ablation therapy as treatment for liver cancer









Global burden of liver cancer





Projected to become the 3rd leading cause of cancer-related death by 2030





Projected to increase to 800-900 thousand new cases per year by 2040, with a 5-year survival rate of 40-50%



Treatment of (early staged) liver tumors



↓ Associated complications & death rates ↑ Cost effective

- ↑ Little contra-indications
- ↓ Short hospital admissions (1-2 days)
- Maximal tumor diameter ≈ 5cm



Surgical resection

↑ Death and complication rates
↑ Patient related contra-indactions
↑ longer hospital admissions (≈ 5-10 days)
↑ Suitable for small and large tumors



Liver tumor(s) treated with thermal ablation



1. Bring the patient to the intervention room



2. General anesthesia or sedation





3. Find the tumor and plan the needle trajectory





4. Insert the needle into the tumor and ablate





entire tumor is

treated

6. Hospital discharge, ≈1day after procedure



Kick off IMAGIO : Focus group discussion with European experts



Thermal ablation often not the treatment of choice for patients with a liver tumor(s) due to diversity in outcomes across different centers/countries



CIRSE 2023 SEPTEMBER 9-13 | COPENHAGEN, DK



Clinical study on ablation within IMAGIO project (A-IMAGIO)

Obtain and analyze large-scaled international data on thermal liver ablation



- 1. Identify 'best practices'
- 2. Evaluate efficacy and safety of thermal ablation





IMAGIC

- Guidance in decision making for personalized treatment
- AI-based algorithms that allow optimized procedures
- AI-based algorithms that allow automated treatment evaluation
- Computational model to identify patients at risk of recurrence

More **standardized**, partly Al driven, approach for thermal ablation of malignant liver tumors.





Thermal ablation as the treatment of choice for the majority of liver tumors

Comparable outcomes worldwide





Conclusions and outlook

- Interventional Oncology uses image guidance for the minimally invasive treatment of cancer patients
- Benefits: fewer complications, faster recovery, shorter hospitalization, cost effective
- The IMAGIO project will deliver impactful results in the areas of imaging and AI-supported treatment delivery to advance the field of Interventional Oncology
- The A-IMAGIO clinical study will accelerate the adoption of multimodal ablation therapy as the first-line treatment for liver tumors

IMAGING AND ADVANCED GUIDANCE FOR WORKFLOW

IMAGING AND ADVANCED GUIDANCE FOR WORKFLOW OPTIMIZATION IN INTERVENTIONAL ONCOLOGY

Q&A time



Use the **chat** below to ask questions to the speakers





Thank you for your attention

ihi.europa.eu





S MedTech Europe from diagnosis to cure





Co-funded by the European Union